



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/693,350	10/24/2003	Daniel W. Tollenaar	2-5578-004	2710
803	7590	08/07/2006	EXAMINER	
STURM & FIX LLP 206 SIXTH AVENUE SUITE 1213 DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076			KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/693,350

Applicant(s)

TOLLENAAR, DANIEL W.

Examiner

Basil Katcheves

Art Unit

3635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 42-97 and 114-116 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 98-113 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 42-79 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 80-97 and 114-116 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/06.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

New claims 114-116 have been added by the applicant in the amendment dated 6/13/06. Claims 42-113 are pending of which claims 98-113 have been withdrawn. Claims 42-97 and 114-116 are examined below.

Double Patenting

The terminal disclaimer dated 10/15/04 has been approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 80-85, 87, 88, 90-97, 114-116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,275,534 to Porter.

Regarding claims 80, 81, 90-97, Porter discloses a plurality of upright leaning studs (fig. 1 & 10: 20) having four sides, a plurality of backing members (fig. 1 & 10: 128), having four sides, which extend between the plurality of studs (fig. 1), the backing members having a side which is disposed upon a plane substantially similar to a plane in which a side of the studs is disposed upon, a plurality of substantially planar spacing structures (fig. 10: 123) spanning between and spacing the backing members (128), the planar structure being on a plane (123) which is substantially parallel to the first plane which the backing members and studs are disposed upon.

Regarding claims 82, 83, Porter discloses the planar structures (123) as being made of metal (claim 1).

Regarding claims 84, 85, Porter discloses the backing members (128) as being wood.

Regarding claims 87, 88, 114-116, Porter discloses the studs as being made of U shaped metal (20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 86, 89 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,275,534 to Porter.

Regarding claim 86, Porter discloses the use of pressed board (120) attached to the studs, not sheetrock in particular. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify to use sheetrock, as pressed board and sheetrock are functional equivalents in the art of construction.

Regarding claim 89, Porter discloses the use of steel for the structure, but does not particularly disclose the use of galvanized steel. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use galvanized steel, as galvanized steel is commonly used on structures which will be subjected to excessive moisture and liquids, in this situation, outdoor structures.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 42-79 are allowed as in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/06 are moot under new grounds of rejections necessitated by the applicant's amendment.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The cited patents listed on the included form PTO-892 further show the state of the art with respect to stud connections in general.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Naoko Slack, can be reached at (571) 272-6848.


Basil Katcheves

BK

8/2/06

Primary Examiner, AU 3635